

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ROCK HILL DIVISION

Tyrone Williams,)
)
Plaintiff,)
) Civil Action No. 0:17-cv-02340-TMC
v.)
)
)
Chuck Wright, *Sheriff, Warden/Designee*,)
)
Defendant.)

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state pretrial detainee proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis*, brought this action seeking relief pursuant to Title 42, United States Code Section 1983. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02, D.S.C., this matter was referred to a magistrate judge for pretrial handling. Before the court is the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation ("Report") that this case be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process because Plaintiff failed to state a cognizable claim for relief under 42 U.S.C. 1983. (ECF No. 6). Plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report and his right to bring the case to proper form by the objection deadline. (ECF No. 6 at 5). However, Plaintiff did not file any objections, and the time to do so has now run.

The Report has no presumptive weight and the responsibility to make a final determination in this matter remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). In the absence of objections, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the Report. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the

recommendation.” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note).

After a careful and thorough review of the record under the appropriate standards, as set forth above, the court adopts the Report (ECF No. 6), which is incorporated herein by reference. Accordingly, this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Timothy M. Cain
United States District Judge

Anderson, South Carolina
October 23, 2017

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this order pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.